



**MIGUEL SERVET TIPO I EVALUATION SCORES 2016**

CANDIDATE: 0-60 POINTS					
PAPERS 2011-2016	0-45		MA	No MA	Main author: signs as 1st, seniors or corresponding author
		1 D	4,5/P	1/P	
		1 C	3/P	0,5/P	
		2 C	1/P	0,25/P	
		Other C	0,5/P	0,2/P	
CORRECTION FACTOR		<b>Increase</b>	Up to 45 if main author of papers published in high profile journals		
		<b>Decrease</b>	50%, if usually no first or senior author		
OTHER MERITS	0-15	0-10	Mobility* (after the PhD degree in centers different from those where the degree was awarded)	0	Poor
				2	Fair
				4	Good
				6	Very good
				10	Excellent
		0-5	Projects		
		0-10		5	FSE Diploma
				10	Río Hortega Programme + FSE
RESEARCH PROJECT: 0-40 POINTS					
TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC QUALITY OF THE PROPOSAL	0-20	0-9	Poor		
		10-14	Fair		
		15-16	Relevant		
		17-19	Highly Relevant		
		20	Outstanding		
TRANSLATIONAL ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSAL	0-15	0-6	Poor		
		7-10	Fair		
		11-12	Relevant		
		13-14	Highly Relevant		
		15	Outstanding		
IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL IN THE R&D CAPACITY OF THE CENTER AND SPANISH NATIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM	0-5				

\* International mobility. National mobility should be between Autonomous Communities in order to be evaluated

Long stays without scientific production will not be excellent

10 BEST PAPERS (2011-2016)

INDEXED IN JCR 2014

REVIEWS (YES)

SUBMITTED (NO)

IN PRESS (YES)

CASE REPORT, LETTERS (to the editor), EDITORIALS AND BOOK CHAPTERS (NO)

SHORT COMMUNICATION (YES)

GROUP SIGN/CONSORTIUM (NO)

Candidates must send the full-text of the publications which are not original articles (short communication, reviews...) in order to be evaluated